

Reprinted with Permission of the Canadian Bar Association

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Historical origins	3
2. The rationale for the excuse	4
3. Development of the doctrine	4
a. Possession of liquor or narcotics	4
b. Theft	9
c. Assault	10
4. Inadequacies in the law in Canada	10
5. Proposals for reform	11
a. Canada	11
b. Other jurisdictions	11
6. Issues for consideration	12
a. Should the criminal law of Canada include an excuse for <i>de minimis</i> violations?	12
b. If the law should recognize an excuse for <i>de minimis</i> violations, should it be left to the common law, or codified?	12
c. If an excuse for <i>de minimis</i> violations should be codified, what should be its constituent elements?	13