

Subject: Peloton 7 -- Chilliwack

From: Ghislain Courcy (courcy.ghislain23@hotmail.com)

To: flareau@rogers.com; fbomanon@yahoo.ca; rggirard@mts.net; yves.grenier@forces.gc.ca; raymondlesiege37@gmail.com; p.lachaine@gmail.com; julien.laframboise@gmail.com; serlen@hotmail.ca; luc.martineau@forces.gc.ca; perreaultjacques@sympatico.ca; poirierjp@videotron.ca; proulxghislain@hotmail.com; ninercharlie@videotron.ca; teoumax@aol.com; jeansimard6@hotmail.com; simardpierre@cgocable.ca; danitougo@outlook.com;

Date: Monday, June 1, 2015 9:31 PM

Bonjour, je tiens à vous remercier tous et plus particulièrement François, Jean-Pierre et Pierre pour cette belle retrouvaille 2015. J'ai fouiller dans mes archiver et j'ai retrouvé la façon de faire un SHIT que je vous envoie (il s'agit d'un good Shit).

À la prochaine! Ghislain 😊

GOOD

INCIDENT REPORT

Name of Candidate: F. LARÉAU / JP POIRIER
Company: B - Platons: 7
Instructor: G. COURCY Date: 2015/06/01
Place: GATINEAU Exercise: RETRouvAILLES
Leadership/Command Role Position: ORGANISATEUR
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (A)

MISSION: RÉALISER LES
RETRouvAILLES PLT 7

CANDIDATS: EN FORME EN
BONNE COMPAGNIE

T°: EXT. → FROID + PLUVIEUX
INT → CHALEUREUX + CORDIAL

FAIT: • PLANIF. → SUPER
• ORG. → AH VY DE TOUR
• DIR. → JP → BON VOIX OK
• CONTR. → SUIVI OK

PERFORMANCE
BELLE RÉUSSITE

SOMMAIRE
BP → BRAVO
MP → AMCHN
PAA → ON VERRA!

Candidate's Signature: _____

(Instructor's Initials)

Revised Jul 76

G. COURCY

CRITICAL REQUIREMENTS

INDIVIDUAL

	1	2	3	4	5
1. Assuming responsibility					X
2. Taking action when required					X
3. Performing successfully under stress					X
4. Correctly applying his knowledge					X

TEAM

5. Co-operating with others					X
6. Supporting associates					X
7. Seeking advice					X
8. Accepting advice					X
9. Complying with rules and orders			X		

COMMAND ROLE

10. Preparation and planning					X
11. Directing others					X
12. Creating high team performance and morale					X
13. Expressing himself effectively					X

Performance in the applicable Critical Requirements are to be graded as follows:

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Entirely Unsatisfactory | 3. Standard |
| 2. Unsatisfactory | 4. Above Standard |
| | 5. Outstanding |

See CFP 131(3) for aid in assessing candidates' performance. Substantiate all numerical assessments (and "not acceptable" Pre-Conditions) with incident narratives.

PRE-CONDITIONS

	Acceptable	Not Acceptable
Sincerity in Speech and Behavior	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Department	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conduct	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>